# \* invivoscribe FLT3 ITD MRD Assay

RUO Kit - Now Available for MiSeq™



# BACKGROUND

Stratifying acute myeloid leukemia (AML) disease according to molecular genetic alterations, such as those in the fms related tyrosine kinase 3 (*FLT3*) gene, aids in prognosis<sup>1</sup>. The most frequent and clinically significant type of *FLT3* mutation is an internal tandem duplication (ITD) in the juxtamembrane domain<sup>2</sup>. The *FLT3*-ITD mutation occurs in about 25% of newly diagnosed AML patients and is associated with an increased risk of relapse and lower overall survival rate<sup>1</sup>. Unlike flow cytometry assays which require fresh sample and are highly subjective, the *FLT3* ITD MRD Assay, a targeted, deep sequencing assay can be used with previously isolated DNA to detect ITD mutations at an allelic sensitivity level of 5x10<sup>-5</sup>. To further simplify your workflow, our *FLT3* ITD MRD v1.2 software automates data analysis and provides an objective variant call to easily monitor and track efficacy-response assessments and to further guide treatment.

Invivoscribe's *FLT3* ITD MRD Assay includes 24 unique dual-indices enabling the ability to multiplex multiple samples. This kit configuration provides laboratories the flexibility to scale testing for variable AML MRD research needs.

# **KEY BENEFITS**

- Bring MRD testing in-house for faster, cost-effective results
- 📎 Streamlined workflow reduces errors
- Flexibility to multiplex samples
- 📎 Scalable for low and high throughput labs
- 📎 Use previously isolated DNA enabling sample batching
- Dockerized software enables highly portable, flexible and efficient sample analysis
- 📎 Standardized for international collaboration

# PRINCIPLE OF THE PROCEDURE

*FLT3* ITD or length mutations are caused by duplication and insertion of a portion of the *FLT3* gene that includes the region in and around the juxtamembrane (JM) region. Next-generation sequencing of the PCR products is used to identify DNA sequences specific to previously identified mutations and estimate variant read frequencies (VRF). The software, *FLT3* ITD MRD v1.2, provides an objective variant call in a .tsv output file to automate your AML studies.



WORKFLOW

For Research Use Only (RUO). Not intended for diagnostic purposes.

ORDERING INFORMATION					
Catalog #	Product	Quantity			
14120019	FLT3 ITD MRD Assay (MiSeq™)	96 reactions			
14120029	FLT3 ITD MRD v1.2 Software (MiSeq™)	1 Dockerized Application			
REAGENTS INCLUDED IN THE KIT					
Controls		Quantity			
FLT3 ITD Positive Control		500 $\mu$ L tube x 2 each			
FLT3 ITD Negative Control		500 $\mu$ L tube x 2 each			
Master Mixes		Quantity			
FLT3 ITD Master Mixes		75 μL tube x 24 each			

## VAF READ DEPTH

*FLT3* ITD mutated subjects enrolled in the CHRYSALIS study, who were treated with *FLT3*-inhibitory oral doses of 120mg/day or 200mg/ day gilteritinib, had their molecular response assessed from bone marrow aspirates obtained at baseline and at  $\geq$ 1 additional time point. *FLT3* ITD and total *FLT3* alleles were quantified using the Invivoscribe<sup>®</sup> *FLT3* ITD MRD assay and used to determine molecular response<sup>3</sup>. A Cox regression model of overall survival (OS) by Kaplan-Meier estimation was used to evaluate the impact of ITD variant allele frequency (VAF) on overall survival. Molecular response was defined as follows:

**Molecular response** = ITD VAF (*FLT3* mutant reads: *FLT3* total reads) of  $\leq 10^{-2}$  point. **Major molecular response** = ITD VAF of  $\leq 10^{-3}$ **Negative MRD status** = ITD VAF of  $\leq 10^{-4}$ 

As shown in Table 1.0 and Figure 1.0, subjects with molecular response had longer overall survival than those without a molecular response<sup>3</sup>. This demonstrates that when evaluating MRD, achieving a sensitivity  $> 10^{-2}$  may be unnecessary when evaluating VAF for OS.

#### Figure 1.0



Mark J. Levis, et al. (2018) Blood Adv. 2(8):825-831.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Naval Daver, et al. (2019) Leukemia. 33:299-312.
- 2. Heiko Konig, Mark Levis, (2015) Expert Opin. Ther. Targets. 19(1): 37-54

#### Table 1.0

	Achieved a molecular response		Did not achieve a molecular response		
Molecular response	n	Median OS (95% CI), d	n	Median OS (95% CI), d	P
ITD VAF $\leq 10^{-2}$	20	417 (246–NA)	60	199 (142–234)	<.001
ITD VAF $\leq 10^{-3}$	18	417 (228–NA)	62	213 (143–264)	.003
ITD VAF $\leq 10^{-4}$ (MRD negative)	13	417 (228–NA)	67	213 (144–264)	.002

#### Table 1.0 Overall Survival of AML Subjects with FLT3 ITD

Comparison between subjects achieving a molecular response (*FLT3* ITD VAF >  $10^{-2}$ ) by the MRD assay and those not achieving a molecular response by the MRD assay. The P values were determined by the log-rank test.

Figure 1.0 Subjects, Overall Survival Stratified by Molecular Response, using the Internationally-Harmonized *FLT3* ITD MRD Assay.

3. Mark J. Levis, et al. (2018) Blood Adv. 2(8):825-831.

# \*invivoscribe